



3. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding other tires, other Goodyear tire models, and other tire failures as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

4. Precluding Plaintiffs' expert David Southwell from wearing a lab coat at trial, handing out flashlights to the jurors at trial, and other improper interactions with the jury under Federal Rule of Evidence 611(1) and Local Rule 1.6(I). Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

5. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding the Protective Order entered in this case (ECF No. 66) as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

6. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding discovery in other litigation, unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

7. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding plant practices at Goodyear's Gadsden, Alabama facility in the event Plaintiffs characterize their belt alignment defect theory as a design defect, and evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding plant practices at Goodyear's Gadsden, Alabama facility unrelated to belt alignment, in the event Plaintiffs characterize their belt alignment theory as a manufacturing defect, as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and

confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

8. Precluding Plaintiffs' Expert David Southwell from opining or commenting on the truthfulness of witnesses and parties as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

9. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding "adjustment data" as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

10. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding Bridgestone/Firestone recalls and incidents as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

11. Bifurcating the trial into liability and punitive damages phases and prohibiting Plaintiffs from offering punitive damages evidence during the liability phase of the trial pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2315.21. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

12. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding Goodyear's investigation into "tread throws" involving Goodyear Load Range E Light Truck Tires because this information is protected by the self-critical analysis privilege. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

13. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding post-manufacture design changes to the Subject Tire as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

14. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding opinions from Plaintiffs' Rehabilitation Expert, Craig Lichtblau, MD, relating to medical treatment Mr. Loveland will likely not need in the future as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

15. Limiting Plaintiffs' Expert Witnesses' opinions and testimony to those subjects and opinions disclosed in their expert reports and deposition testimony pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a) and 37(a). Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

16. Precluding Plaintiffs' Expert, David Southwell, from offering opinions at trial first disclosed during his deposition, but not included in his expert report pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a) and 37(a) and as irrelevant under Federal Rule of Evidence 402.

17. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Preliminary Evaluation of Goodyear's Load Range E tires and Goodyear's Voluntary Replacement Program as irrelevant under Federal Rule of Evidence 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Federal Rule of Evidence 403. Goodyear's Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

18. Precluding Plaintiffs from introducing the “Day In The Life Video Of Shane Loveland as irrelevant under Rule 402, inadmissible hearsay under Rule 802, and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403. Goodyear’s Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

19. Exercising its supervisory power to keep any trade secrets and other commercially sensitive information and documents introduced at trial under seal, to close the courtroom when trade secret material or other commercially sensitive information is discussed or published to the jury, and to restrict non-parties from access to trade secret or commercially sensitive documents during and after trial. Goodyear’s Memorandum in Support of this Motion is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion.

20. Precluding at trial any evidence, comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding Goodyear’s corporate status, wealth, or power as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

21. Precluding at trial any comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding Goodyear having hired the best attorneys or experts who make a career out of coming to court to testify as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

22. Precluding at trial any comment, argument, reference or innuendo contrasting the wealth and power of the parties as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

23. Precluding at trial any comment, argument, reference or innuendo that Goodyear is motivated by greed as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

24. Precluding at trial any comment, argument, reference or innuendo that the jury should send a message through its verdict or that the verdict will make Goodyear take notice or do the “right thing” as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

25. Precluding at trial any comment, argument, reference or innuendo regarding any collusion to distort the truth on the part of Goodyear and its witnesses as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

26. Precluding any comment, argument, reference or innuendo invoking the jury’s self interest or what the verdict would mean to the jury personally as irrelevant under Rule 402 and as unduly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing under Rule 403.

WHEREFORE, Goodyear respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested in this Motion and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate under the circumstances.

GREENSFELDER, HEMKER & GALE, P.C.

By: /s/ Edward S. Bott, Jr.  
Edward S. Bott, Jr.  
Clark W. Hedger  
Juliane M. Rodriguez  
10 South Broadway, Suite 2000  
St. Louis, MO 63102  
(314) 241-9090  
Fax: (314) 345-5465  
[esb@greensfelder.com](mailto:esb@greensfelder.com)  
[ch1@greensfelder.com](mailto:ch1@greensfelder.com)  
[jrodriguez@greensfelder.com](mailto:jrodriguez@greensfelder.com)

AND

BAIRD HOLM LLP  
Jennifer D. Tricker (NE# 23022)  
1700 Farnam Street, Suite 1500  
Omaha, NE 68102-2068

(402) 344-0500  
[jtricker@bairdholm.com](mailto:jtricker@bairdholm.com)

*Attorneys for The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of the Court and served upon all attorneys of record using the CM/ECF system this 4th day of February, 2020.

Kyle W. Farrar  
KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP  
1010 Lamar, Suite 1600  
Houston, TX 77002  
[kyle@fbtrial.com](mailto:kyle@fbtrial.com)

Paul E. Godlewski  
SCHWEBEL, GOETZ & SIEBEN, P.A.  
80 South 8<sup>th</sup> Center  
5120 IDS Center  
Minneapolis, MN 54402  
[pgodlewski@schwebel.com](mailto:pgodlewski@schwebel.com)

Michael F. Coyle  
FRASER, STRYKER LAW FIRM  
409 South 17<sup>th</sup> Street  
Suite 500, Energy Plaza  
Omaha, NE 68102  
[mcoyle@fraserstryker.com](mailto:mcoyle@fraserstryker.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Edward S. Bott, Jr.